UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,920	06/07/2005	Carl Towns	14113-00039	2378
	7590 05/04/201 OVE LODGE & HU T	EXAMINER		
1007 North Orange Street P.O. Box 2207 Wilmington, DE 19899-2207			YAMNITZKY, MARIE ROSE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1786	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/04/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/533,920	TOWNS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Marie R. Yamnitzky	1786	

	Mane K. Taninitzky	1700	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 April 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1	r).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t They raise new issues that would require further cor 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or	,		ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None.		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <i>None</i> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9,14 and 25</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>10-13 and 15-24</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Marie R. Yamnitzky/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1786	

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

Proposed amendment to definition of R1-R4 in claim 1 requires further consideration under 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103.

The limitations of claim 25 are inconsistent with proposed amendment to claim 1 ("hydrogen" should be deleted from claim 25).

If the proposed amendment were to be entered, the rejection based on Peng et al. would be overcome. However, the rejections based on Frey et al. require further consideration. One or both of the rejections based on Frey et al. will need to be modified to address the amended claim limitations upon entry of the proposed amendment if the amendment does not overcome the rejection(s).

Further consideration will be required of some (not all) of the claims presently withdrawn from consideration if, upon entry of the proposed amendment and further consideration of the prior art, the proposed amendment is found to render claim 1 allowable. For example, if proposed claim 1 were found to be allowable, claims 22-24 would be subject to rejoinder and examination, and if claim 9 as dependent from proposed claim 1 were found to be allowable, claim 21 would be subject to rejoinder and examination.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Proposed amendment will not be entered for reasons noted above. Therefore, arguments directed to limitations that are not in the finally rejected claims are not persuasive and rejections of record stand.